

ESSEX JUNCTION, VT 05452

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Yoji Macda 10/708,265 JP920020215US1 2264 02/20/2004 **EXAMINER** 01/10/2006 24241 7590 IBM MICROELECTRONICS **ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA** INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1000 RIVER STREET 972 E 1725

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					火し
		Application No.	Applicar		
		10/708,265	MAEDA	ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		M. Alexandra Elve	1725		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover	sheet with the correspon	dence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be available. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CO 1.136(a). In no event, hower od will apply and will expire S tute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing displayment and the mailing displayment.	ate of this communication. § 133).	•
Status					
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL .	<u>October 2005</u> . his action is non-fina	I .		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with declaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ison Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rawn from considerated for election requirer fare: a) accepted he drawing(s) be held in ection is required if the	nent. or b) objected to by the nabeyance. See 37 CFR 1 drawing(s) is objected to. See 35 codes of the drawing(s) is objected to.	1.85(a). See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2)	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 tr No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) <u> </u>	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Applic Other:	ation (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant states a maskless board in instant claim, while the specification does not disclose a maskless board. The claim will not further considered on its merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Hamada (USPN 6,906,282).

Hamada discloses a laser drilling apparatus, which uses a mask. A laser oscillator generates a beam, which passes through a mask having a predetermined pattern. Mirrors and lens are used. The workpiece is loaded on to a stage, which is movable. The mask stage and workpiece stage are controlled with a controller. The mask has a processing pattern having a plurality of holes that may have a random or regular pitch. Imaging lens (28) controls the direction of the laser beam on to the

Application/Control Number: 10/708,265

Art Unit: 1725

workpiece surface. Ratios of the imaging lens determine the diameter of the holes. (abstract, figures, col. 1, col. 2, lines 33-40)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Steur et al. (USPN 6,649,864) in view of Leighton (USPN 4,822,974).

De Steur et al. discloses laser drilling of holes in a circuit board substrate. A perforated mask is used to drill a hole with a predetermined diameter. During drilling the laser beam is moved in a circular path (wobble motion). (abstract, figures, col. 1-3)

De Steur et al. does not teach the angle of the wobble motion.

Leighton discloses the drilling of holes using a laser. The beam angle is controlled with a prism in order to various hole shapes. (abstract, figures, col. 1-3)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to determine the angle of the beam relative to the axis, as taught by Leighton in the De Steur et al. process because this is merely a measurement of the operational parameters and ensures the formation of a quality drilled product.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,265

Art Unit: 1725

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 10/26/0 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Applicant states a maskless board in instant claim, while the specification does not disclose a maskless board.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1725

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 8, 2006.

M. Alexandra Elve

Primary Examiner 1725